Abstract

Despite the fact that the sun played such a prominent part in the religion and culture of Ancient Egypt it is surprising that there is virtually no mention of solar eclipses, which would not have been understood and so would have been terrifying.

This paper discusses a class of inscriptions appearing on a number of artefacts from Deir el-Medina or nearby and also material from the Book of the Dead. In the past the inscriptions have traditionally been interpreted by researchers as referring to blindness, often of only a temporary nature, or of spiritual darkness and their co-incidence with the occurrence of Book of the Dead Spell 135 has not hitherto been noticed.

Tentative dating of these artefacts has been attempted based upon their provenance and the individuals associated with them in relation to known regnal years from a generally accepted chronology supplemented by recent research for the Amarna period.

An alternative hypothesis is proposed, namely that these artefacts record the witnessing of a total solar eclipse, which, not being understood at the time, was regarded as a punishment or omen, and was consequently interpreted in religious terms. It is suggested that in response to these events, Spell 135 was then used in a precautionary manner in the tombs of those who witnessed this event or their family.

The paper shows that this hypothesis is not inconsistent with the available evidence. As such it must still stand and may ultimately form an important element in attempts to generate an absolute chronology.
Total solar eclipses in Ancient Egypt – a new interpretation of some New Kingdom texts.

Background

The sun played such an important role in the life of Ancient Egyptians, particularly in their religion that it is surprising that there is virtually no mention of solar eclipses in ancient records from the Nile valley. These events would have been terrifying and, not being understood in physical terms, would surely inevitably have been incorporated in some way into the religious corpus. Despite this, these spectacular natural events and their likely effect on those witnessing them have received no academic attention and indeed have merited virtually no mention in the historical and archaeological records of Ancient Egypt.

During a deep solar eclipse, when the moon’s disk more than covers the sun’s disk, the light level can fall by up to six orders of magnitude and stars may become visible. Given the importance of the stars in Egyptian Theology and Cosmology\(^1\), to an Ancient Egyptian observer, used to cloudless, clear skies, without industrial atmospheric pollution, their sudden appearance during the daytime would have been of great concern. Indeed, Brewer\(^2\) remarked that “it is hard to imagine that the spectacular recurrence of total eclipses could go unrecorded, especially by a culture that so worshipped the sun”.

It is however possible that such events may have been recorded on papyrus rather than on stone and although many papyri have survived, the relative rarity of these events has not yet resulted in an extant example. But, as Baines\(^3\) pointed out, the sources were not created and then almost randomly preserved or destroyed to supply us with a balanced picture of Egyptian religion – absence of evidence is not evidence of absence!

The proportion of the population witnessing a total eclipse will inevitably be small because of the narrow trajectory of the event and its transitory nature. If it occurred when the sun was high in the sky and it was very hot, many of the potential witnesses may have been sheltering indoors. Of those who did witness it, the vast majority would have been peasant workers in the fields and, being illiterate, such people would not have recorded the event.
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There may even have been strong reasons why such events were not always recorded, since the act of recording it may have been considered to endow the event with a degree of permanence. If it were recorded, therefore, it may have been referred to obliquely or in some cryptic way. Such a rare event would probably not even have had a name and thus be referred to in terms of the way the event was experienced “seeing it get dark during daytime”, which is precisely the kind of expression found in these texts.

One such event was recorded by Plutarch⁴:

"Now, grant me that nothing that happens to the sun is so like its setting as a solar eclipse. You will, if you call to mind this conjunction recently which, beginning just after noonday, made many stars shine out from many parts of the sky and tempered the air in the manner of twilight."

At any given location, total eclipses will only recur on average every 375 years and are thus extremely rare events. They are generally regarded as dramatic with, some observers say, spiritually moving overtones; wildlife and domestic animals are often disturbed by the experience. Apparently, when an eclipse terrified the soldiers of Alexander who were fighting the Persians under Darius, appeal was made to the explanations of an Egyptian priest to calm the panic that overcame the troops⁵. Writing in the third century BC, Manetho⁶ is quoted as stating that

“a solar eclipse exerts a baneful influence upon men in their head and stomach”.

Eclipse events are highly predictable, given our knowledge of the mathematics of celestial mechanics, but this advantage may not have been enjoyed by the ancient Egyptians. Solar and lunar eclipses occur in regular cycles, known as the “Saros”, a fact that was well known in antiquity⁷. However, because of the geometry of an eclipse, whereas lunar eclipses are visible from everywhere on Earth where the moon is above the horizon, solar eclipses are only visible in specific regions of the planet, if at all. Determination of these cycles was probably gained through detailed and extensive record keeping. Thus, once understood, these cycles can be used to predict lunar eclipses but can only be used
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to identify times when solar eclipses are possible or exclude times when they are impossible.

Early records of eclipses and of eclipse predictions survive from the late Babylonian period (c. 750 BC) and, later, from the Greek and Roman period, continuing into the Islamic Near East and India; the earliest Chinese records are somewhat older, dating from the middle of the second millennium BC. The earliest references to eclipses found in Egyptian material are on papyri dating from the Roman period, most of which relate to time-keeping and the calendar. One notable exception is the Vienna Demotic Papyrus, which records solar and lunar omina. Parker regards this as a copy, probably made in the late second century A.D. by a skilful scribe, of two separate books of earlier Babylonian material dating from the sixth century B.C. Later work by Parker and others confirms the likely dating of the original material.

Solar eclipses in Egypt have been discussed by several researchers in recent years. Sellers considered that there may have been a link between such events and Pharaonic accession, while more recently Ibrahim studied possible correlations of solar eclipses with key events or inscriptions, although the eclipse predictions he used are no longer accurate.

Aubourg, studying the dating of the Zodiac of Dendera using the motions of the planets, has noted that it shows two disks, both in the constellation of Pisces. One of these is clearly intended to depict the moon, while the other contains a Wadjet eye. He calculated that a number of solar and lunar eclipses occurred during a range of years around the time the construction of the Zodiac, but observed that a nearly total solar eclipse occurred on a date corresponding very closely
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to the actual depiction of the positions of the planets in the constellations and the position of the disk containing the Wadjet eye in 51 B.C. This symbolism reminds us of the myth of Horus losing an eye in his fight with Set and raises the possibility that this may have had its origins in a very early observation of a solar eclipse. More recently, McMurray\textsuperscript{20,21} has been attempting to correlate lunar and solar eclipse dates using the latest predictions with dateable inscriptions on various monuments, to try to develop an absolute chronology.

Archaeological material and tomb inscriptions found at or near Deir el-Medina has given some rich insights into the beliefs of the workmen and women living there and texts from this material have been included in several general studies of the morals, religion and piety of the ordinary people in Ancient Egypt, for example by Gunn\textsuperscript{22}, Erman\textsuperscript{23}, Sweeney\textsuperscript{24}, Baines\textsuperscript{25,26,27}, Lichtheim\textsuperscript{28,29}, Pinch\textsuperscript{30} and extensively over many years by Assmann\textsuperscript{31,32,33,34,35,36}.

**Were eclipses recorded but the evidence since misinterpreted?**

This paper examines a small but specific group of eleven texts from stelae, an ostracon, a graffito and some other relevant texts and
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vignettes from tombs, a coffin fragment and a papyrus, all from the New Kingdom, which may throw some light on the mystery of the apparent absence of mentions of solar eclipses. The texts from the stelae, ostracon and the graffito considered are as follows:

- Stela Cairo JE 37463
- Ostracon Cairo 12202
- Graffito Pawah (in TT139)
- Stela Bankes No. 6 (KRI I, 413)
- Stela BM 374 (KRI V, 645)
- Stela BM 589 (KRI III, 771-2)
- Stela Turin 50046 (KRI III, 668-9)
- Stela Turin 50050 (KRI IV, 338, B.VIII)
- Stela Turin 50051 (KRI I, 373-4)
- Stela Turin 50052 (KRI I, 390)
- Stela Turin 50058 (KRI III, 772-3)

Some of these texts are penitential, expressing remorse for wrongdoing and seeking forgiveness or the lifting of a punishment imposed by a god, while others are hymns and prayers. Although the form of the expressions used in the actual texts varies slightly from case to case and their translation varies from one researcher to another, all of these texts contain a form of words which seem to be trying to express the concept of darkness at a time which was unusual:

- ‘to see / behold darkness by day’ (of your making);
- ‘to see a darkness of thy / my making’;
- ‘in her hand by night as by day’
- ‘to see the darkness you create’;
- ‘the day-time darkness thou has made’;
- ‘to see day like night’;

Although there was not always complete consistency in the use of determinatives in hieroglyphic texts, it is perhaps also worthy of note that all but one of the texts on the stelae considered contain the unusual determinative hieroglyph (N46b)
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depicting “night” or “darkness”, which was first used in the New Kingdom. It does not occur on Stela Cairo JE 37463, but this is relatively small and the sculptor may have chosen to omit it for reasons of space. The graffito and the ostracon are both in hieratic although those discussing these texts have interpreted them as using the equivalent of N46b.

Maspero, and some later Egyptologists, believed that this depicts a star suspended beneath the sky, but Chatelet and Hornung both
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studied the evolution of this glyph and Chatelet argued that this was a misinterpretation. Tracing the history of this and earlier forms of the glyph back to the Old Kingdom, she showed that the determinative for night originally represented the sky being held up by an oar, and, later, a sceptre. In particular, this support was normally broken, sometimes “mended” with a rope binding. She argued, from the continuous evolution of this sign throughout the Middle Kingdom, that this “star” is in fact simply the plan view of a base for the support holding up the sky; Egyptians did not try to show perspective, but combined different planes of view in a single depiction\textsuperscript{40}.

Chatelet argued that the belief that this cross represented a star was apparently reinforced by the discovery of a much later form of the glyph, which appears to depict a star suspended from a rope looped over the sky, but she considered that this was due to the misunderstanding of the earlier origins of the glyph by the draughtsmen of the Ptolemaic period. She did not offer an explanation of the origin of the other small line in the glyph, but this occurs in very early forms of the glyph in the Old Kingdom and is often also shown bound with the rope to the broken support. This probably represents part of a broken support, while others still remain intact – i.e. that it is the determinative for “twilight”.

**Traditional explanations for these particular texts**

Gunn\textsuperscript{41} discussed a number of texts, including several of those considered here, noting that some document physical ailments and in particular various forms of “blindness”. Although he considered it natural to take such expressions to describe physical blindness, bearing in mind that the working conditions and the incidence of water-borne parasites may both be detrimental to eyesight, he remarked that it was “very strange that this affliction should occur proportionally so often, and be at the same time the only one specified by the victims of divine retribution”.

Rowe\textsuperscript{42} examined one example of these texts on Stela Cairo JE 37463, found in the Karnak cache, attributed to Huy, Viceroy of Nubia during the reign of Tutankhamun, and whilst noting the similarity between this text and others from elsewhere, commented that the “darkness” may refer either to Huy becoming blind or that he was in metaphorical darkness because of the absence of the king. Černý\textsuperscript{43}, considering Stela Bankes
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No: 6, also noted the similarity of the text to those from elsewhere and also shared the view that physical blindness was being described.

Mahmoud\textsuperscript{44}, covering all the examples considered here (as well as some others which do not include references to darkness or night) also considered these texts to be referring to actual blindness. He argued that the ancient Egyptians never used the words “blind”, “blindness”, or their synonyms in cases of literal blindness, probably for fear that such defects might adhere to them in their afterlife, concluding that they used symbolic ways of explaining the meaning of blindness, including such expressions as those above.

Posener\textsuperscript{45} believed that the hieratic graffito on Ostracon Cairo 12202 was written to give thanks to Amun-Re by someone who had previously experienced “blindness” but was cured and who clearly was alive and sighted at the time it was written! Borghouts\textsuperscript{46} has extensively researched references to “manifestations of the gods”, including several of these texts, and also regarded it as generally agreed that, while they describe a physical state, such blindness, particularly where referred to using the expression “darkness by day”, was mostly only a temporary one.

While Gardiner\textsuperscript{47} interpreted the Graffito Pawah, written in hieratic and dating from the Amarna period, found in a chapel tomb in Thebes as being a petition to Amun by the brother of a blind man, Reeves\textsuperscript{48} argued that it is an appeal to Amun to return, reflecting the despondency which had settled on the country during the reign of Akhenaton. On the other hand, Manniche\textsuperscript{49}, studying artistic material, noted that those said to ‘see darkness by day’ are all represented as having a perfectly normal eye suggesting that the expression could be figurative, citing similar biblical passages where spiritual blindness may be being inferred.

Pinch\textsuperscript{50}, studying the use of votive eyes and ears which appear on some stelae, including several of those discussed here, recalled the suggestion by Wilkinson\textsuperscript{51} that such stelae were dedicated to deities by people who had been cured of blindness. However, she also concluded that it could also be referring to spiritual blindness, adding that it is not
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clear whether these were produced in response to seeing visions of the deity, perhaps as a mark of divine forgiveness, or to being in the presence of the deity in the afterlife.

In a similar vein, Galán\textsuperscript{52} also believed that the idea that such expressions refer to physical blindness is mistaken, arguing “rather, the alleged blind are dead; the stelae have been dedicated by one or more of their relatives, who include themselves in the \textit{tableau}, praising the deity, and/or are mentioned at the end of the inscription”. Assmann\textsuperscript{53}, too, did not consider this as referring to blindness. Using Grafitto Pawah as a model example, he coined the phrase “ocular desire” to cover the concepts expressed in texts such as these (and others). Considering the expression to be metaphorical, whilst accepting that, in the context of Ancient Egyptian religion, actual blindness may also have appeared as a metaphor itself for banishment from the face of the god, he argued that this can cover three contexts of visibility / invisibility:

“the context of the feast: the visibility of the ‘coming god’, where invisibility refers to the absence caused by the abolition of the feasts during the Amarna period,

the context of the pious life: the visibility of the god ‘taken to heart’, where invisibility refers to ignorance or even persecution, and

the context of suffering and salvation: the visibility of the merciful god, who turns his face to the sufferer and illuminates his yearning eyes”

All of these traditional interpretations and explanations for such texts are, of course, plausible. Physical blindness would have been very common in Ancient Egypt when diseases like Bilharzias were not understood and effective treatments were not available. The particularly cramped and dusty working conditions in the tombs would also have caused irritation and ulceration, in some cases leading to the permanent loss of sight. The depiction of normal eyes in accompanying imagery suggests, however, that permanent blindness does not seem to be an adequate explanation in all the cases considered.

If one accepts Assmann’s view\textsuperscript{54} that religious beliefs pervaded entirely the everyday life of the Ancient Egyptians, metaphorical interpretations, in terms of despair or invisibility of a deity, to meet the need to find suitable expressions to describe spiritual experiences, seem equally
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\textsuperscript{54} Assmann, J., op. cit. 1984.
plausible. On the other hand, there are some cases where it seems likely that sight had been lost but was recovered\(^{55}\).

No single explanation seems entirely adequate for all eleven expressions, despite their conceptual similarity, but there is no reason to assume that these explanations are comprehensive or mutually exclusive. In the absence of the physical explanations for the movement of the sun, moon, and stars, and of the understanding of natural everyday phenomena (such as the annual flooding of the Nile) which we now have, unusual and unwelcome occurrences and events would have been attributed supernatural causes and effects, for example being seen as punishment by or displeasure of the gods. Thus even texts which seem strongly metaphorical or spiritual may be grounded on some physical event or occurrence - indeed Assmann\(^ {56}\) noted the spiritual change in the New Kingdom where “God translates his nature into actions and controls creation and order”.

The main problem with all the traditional explanations is that they are essentially un-testable scientifically. An explanation which, if further examples were to be found, allowed a test of the validity of the explanation, would be of greater value. Such an explanation was hinted at by Rowe when he was commenting on Stela Cairo JE 37463 (see above) adding “like the sun during the night or at an eclipse (?)”.

This paper examines the hypothesis that these expressions were in fact recording the witnessing of a total solar eclipse, whether they were incorporated into texts written for other purposes or in response to the event, for example, in the belief that the darkness during the eclipse was a punishment for some misdemeanour for which forgiveness was being sought.

**Further evidence from the other texts and vignettes from tombs, a coffin fragment and a papyrus,**

Hodel-Hoenes\(^ {57}\) illustrated the wall decoration from several New Kingdom tombs and noted, in the case of TT1 (Sennedjem), the instance of the unusual Spell 135 from the Book of the Dead. Although there may be some ambiguity between the inscription and the vignette as to whether the disk shown is the moon or the sun, she considered the spell to be providing protection against a solar eclipse occurring at the same time as a new moon - in fact, solar eclipses can only occur at the point
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when there is no moon since that is when the moon is directly between the earth and the sun and therefore always just before a new moon.

The spell, which may comprise a text and a vignette showing a varying number of deities (4 or 5), a disk and a varying numbers of stars (6, 7 or 8) against a dark background, is noted by Saleh\textsuperscript{58} as occurring in several New Kingdom tombs all from Deir el-Medina:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tomb</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Spell 135 content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TT1</td>
<td>Sennedjem</td>
<td>Vignette only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT5</td>
<td>Neferabou</td>
<td>Vignette only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT218</td>
<td>Amennakhte</td>
<td>Text and Vignette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT265</td>
<td>Amenemopet</td>
<td>Text and Vignette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT290</td>
<td>Irynefer</td>
<td>Vignette only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT356</td>
<td>Amenmuwia</td>
<td>Vignette only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Saleh does not actually illustrate the vignette in TT265 when discussing the text and there does not appear to be any reference to it in the main reference work on this tomb by Jourdain\textsuperscript{59}.

Faulkner\textsuperscript{60} translated Spell 135 as dispelling “blisterness of eye (of Re) with his fiery breath” – possibly a reference to the flash of the corona and the phenomenon of “Bailey’s Beads”, flashes of light occurring at the precise moment of a total eclipse. He also translated the spell as being intended for use on the first day of the month. This may be interpreted as the first day of the lunar month, since there seems no physical or logical reason why there should have been considered a special relationship between the lunar cycle and the Ancient Egyptian calendar at this time. Hornung suggested that the “eclipse” may be a new moon passing in front of the sun, although it is not clear why he ruled out a genuine solar eclipse. Stars are visible during very deep eclipses and the number seen (or remembered) would have depended when during the eclipse their presence was noted, possibly explaining the variation in numbers in the vignettes between the tombs.

Others\textsuperscript{61,62,63} have also recorded and discussed this spell. Budge, in particular translated this as “Osiris unfettereth (or openeth?) the storm cloud in the body of heaven” and recorded the hieroglyph used for “storm” as
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while Davis translated the spell as “he opens the cloud that is the body of Heaven, he frees himself.” Clearly shown in Saleh’s illustrations of the texts of this spell, particularly that from TT218, is the hieroglyph
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used for cloth, sometimes as a determinative for covering / uncovering. Although Budge curiously did not seem to record the use of this hieroglyph in the version of the spell he published, he nevertheless used the phrase “and he hath made an end of the storm” in his translation. Interestingly, the text immediately adjacent to the vignette in TT290 shown by Saleh also includes an early variant of the hieroglyph N46b in the context of a variation of the text reported above on the stelae considered in this paper, but this text does not seem to have been fully documented so far.

Spell 135 also occurs on a few papyri, the earliest of which is pNeferrenpet, which contains both a vignette and text. This, as we will see later, can be dated by association with its known owner, fairly accurately to the first half of the reign of Ramesses II. Milde remarked that “the affinity between pNeferrenpet and the Theban tombs (especially TT1) is abundantly clear”. The other papyri containing this spell are attributed to the 21st dynasty. A small fragment of his coffin was found fortuitously in the entrance to TT 335 which belonged to Nakhtamun, the brother of Neferronpet, whose own tomb (TT 336) is immediately adjacent.

Although Spell 135 first occurs in the tombs of the workers at Deir el-Medina during the 19th Dynasty (and as far as is currently known was only used in those tombs), it has echoes in Coffin Text Spell 112, translated by Faulkner as “O storm, you who are cloudy! Re is covered but Horus proceeds happily every day, (even he) the great shape and weighty of striking power, who dispels cloudiness with his fiery breath.” The eight coffins bearing this spell are documented by de Buck & Gardiner and are all from el-Barsha and probably come from the 11th
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or 12th Dynasty, but cannot be dated more precisely. None of the texts published by de Buck & Gardiner of this spell, which varies between the coffins, contain hieroglyphs of the form discussed above.

These Coffin and Book of the Dead texts are notoriously difficult to interpret and hence translate. Nevertheless, we cannot overlook the structural similarity of the hieroglyphs discussed above, when they occur, and their interpretation in terms of darkness, cloudiness, storm, covering / uncovering etc. taken together with similar expressions used by those translating these texts are all terms which may be used by an observer witnessing the dramatic and extremely unusual event of a total solar eclipse. The workers at Deir el-Medina would have been in a unique position to have been aware of the earlier Coffin Text and to have seen the necessity to have constructed a Book of the Dead Spell to put in their own tombs as a precautionary measure.

**Correlating these texts with known total solar eclipses**

This requires knowledge of the location of each text, its date and the dates of the eclipse. Stelae, ostraca, & papyrii especially those bearing such expressions & spells, are rarely dated within the inscription, although in most cases the material concerned can be broadly dated by its content or its relationship to specific named individuals who were known from other references to have been present in a particular place at particular regnal dates. Even then, linking these regnal dates to an absolute date is fraught with difficulty since it is generally accepted that the chronology remains uncertain and cannot be defined precisely until about 664 BC69.

Attempts at absolute dating of the New Kingdom period are based upon two main correlations, the accession dates for Thutmose III and Ramesses II, which are associated with specific astronomical lunar and Sothic events70.

The texts considered in this paper fall into two distinct groups. Several of the items considered relate to specific individuals who were known to have been resident at the village of Deir el-Medina. The prosopographic study of this community by Davies71 has been especially valuable in this respect. The remaining items are more disparate but individually have
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attributes which still make them useful in relation to the examination of the hypothesis that they were originally related to a total solar eclipse.

**Eclipse Predictions for the period of the New Kingdom**

Although the mathematics of solar eclipse prediction is now well known, there remains one parameter in the calculation which introduces an element of uncertainty into the precise path of the solar eclipse trajectory across the globe. This parameter is the changing rate of the earth's rotation, due mainly to the drag of tidal friction caused by the moon on the earth, although other complex geophysical processes, not all of which are well understood, are also involved. Currently, this parameter amounts to an increase of about 1.7 milliseconds per century in the length of the day. Although this may not seem important, the cumulative effect of this drag over a period of many centuries is significant. The effect of these complex processes is also not linear and during the period before 1900 AD back as far as about 2000 BC the length of the day was in fact declining.

Stephenson\textsuperscript{72,73} has made an extensive study of this phenomenon, based upon the historical records of solar eclipses and other occultation events. Based upon his work, the accumulated change in the earth's rotational position at the precise timing of an eclipse for dates in the period covered by this study can be seen to be significant. For example, for eclipses occurring around 1500 BC, this parameter, known as $\Delta T$, amounts to about 34,000 seconds, or about 9½ hours compared with the position the earth would be in if the rotation had been constant over the entire period. Although this absolute time difference is significant in calculating precisely where an eclipse will be visible, what is of more importance in this study is the inherent error in the estimate of $\Delta T$. Even a small amount of error in $\Delta T$ can significantly affect the trajectory of an eclipse and make the difference between a total eclipse and an insignificant partial one and the sensitivity of the results quoted to errors in $\Delta T$ will be examined later in the paper.

PC-based eclipse prediction software which takes into account celestial mechanics and also the empirical estimate of $\Delta T$ is now readily available\textsuperscript{74} and with this it is easy to calculate the incidence of total solar eclipses visible in Egypt throughout the New Kingdom period with a high degree of accuracy provided that a good estimate of $\Delta T$ is available. The best estimate at present for this parameter is normally deemed to be that published by JPL using the formula:

\textsuperscript{73} Stephenson F. R., Historical Eclipses and the Earth's Rotation, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
\textsuperscript{74} Takesako S, EmapWin Ver. 1.21 downloadable from http://www2c.biglobe.ne.jp/~takesako
\[ \Delta T \text{ (for years prior to 948 A.D)} = 31 t^2 \]

where “t” is the number of centuries counting back from 1820. However, JPL used a value of -25.7376 arcsec/century/century for lunar tidal acceleration and in this paper a value of -26 has been used and the values of \( \Delta T \) input into the eclipse prediction software have been derived from the javascript algorithm provided by Gent\textsuperscript{75}.

Although to some extent it is a matter of judgement, it seems unlikely that eclipses with a magnitude of less than 0.9 would have had a significant visual impact. As an initial sift, all the solar eclipses visible with a magnitude of over 0.9 visible at some point in Egypt between 1550 BC and 1050 BC were calculated.

Since the texts being considered in this paper all refer to “darkness”, it was appropriate to examine more closely only those with a significant magnitude at the likely place where the event would have been witnessed. For stars to have been visible it would have been necessary for the eclipse to have been total or almost completely so – i.e. with a magnitude approaching or exceeding unity. For the purposes of this paper it is assumed that the eclipse magnitude would need to be 0.97 or greater. Since solar eclipses are generally only witnessed at such a magnitude over a fairly restricted area, those regions principally associated with the texts are those of Akhetaten, Thebes and Aniba. (For practical purposes, Karnak, Deir el-Medina and Western Thebes are close enough together to be regarded as a single location for eclipse calculation.) Only a small subset of the eclipses examined satisfied these more stringent conditions.

A potential source of error in the eclipse path prediction arises from the estimate of \( \Delta T \). To cope with this, a sensitivity analysis was carried out to determine how critical the results were to errors in this parameter. Although this was to some extent subjective it has allowed a very rough indication of how critical errors may be in the case of each eclipse.

**Group 1: Evidence from Deir el-Medina**

All the evidence in this group comes from workers at Deir el-Medina living during the first half of the reign of Ramesses II as we shall see below. Shaw\textsuperscript{76} dates this as 1279 BC to 1213 BC. Total solar eclipses occurring during this period which satisfy the criteria of depth, location and relative insensitivity to errors in \( \Delta T \) are as follows:

\textsuperscript{75} van Gent R H., Delta T: Approximate Algorithms for Historical Periods http://www.phys.uu.nl/~vgent/astro/deltatime.htm
\textsuperscript{76} Shaw, I., (ed) op. cit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eclipses (BC)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magn</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>∆T Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul 27th 1258</td>
<td>Thebes</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3 min 12 secs</td>
<td>Insensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under Shaw’s chronology, this single eclipse corresponds to year 22 of the 66 year reign of Ramasses II.

**Stela Bankes No. 6 & TT 1:** This stela was dedicated to Iy-neferti, wife of Sen-nedjem, a “servant at the place of truth” (Deir el-Medina), where the stela probably originated. Černý\(^77\) translated this as “*For you have caused me to see darkness by day*”. Sen-nedjem and Iy-neferti lived during the reign of Sety I and Ramesses II and Sennedjem himself had probably died earlier in this period. Mahmoud\(^78\) believed that Iy-neferti was over 75 years old when she died which would mean that it is probable that she lived until the middle of the reign of Ramesses II. The couple and several of their sons were buried in the same tomb (TT1) and it is quite possible that decoration of the tomb continued after Sennedjem had died and spell 135 added as a matter of some concern by his widow and possibly the sons who had witnessed it. This stela and tomb therefore match well with the total solar eclipse.

**Stela BM 374 & TT 218:** This stela from Deir el-Medina, shows Amennakhte, scribe of Set-Ma’at, kneeling before the Goddess Meretseger, Mistress of the West. Gunn\(^79\) translated this inscription as “*Thou causest me to see darkness by day*” and notes that Amennakhte is a very common name in the village. This specific individual cannot be identified with certainty but Davies\(^80\) identifies him as the son of Nebenmaat, whose own tomb chapel dates from the first half of the reign of Ramesses II. Amennakhte, son of Nebenmaat, also owned tomb TT218. This stela and tomb therefore probably date from the first half of the reign of Ramesses II and therefore also match well with the total solar eclipse.

**Stelae BM 589 & Turin 50058 (also referred to as No: 102) & TT5:** Stela BM 589, also discussed by Gunn\(^81\) shows Neferabu, a worker, probably a painter, at Deir el-Medina. Neferabu erected a number of stelae and his tomb (TT5) are discussed by Vandier\(^82\) who suggested that this particular stela did not come from his tomb but from a private shrine in Western Thebes. Gunn translated this text as “*(and) he caused me to behold darkness by day*”, commenting that it appeared strange that the petition is to Ptah, to allow him to see Amun, rather than Amun.

---
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himself. However in view of the fact that Ptah was both the god of craftsmen (of which genre Neferabou probably classed himself) and also the hearer of prayers, this is perhaps not unexpected.

Stela Turin 50058, discussed by Gunn, Tosi and Roccati and Mahmoud is also attributed to Neferabou. This stela was dedicated to Meretseger, to whom Neferabou also made a false vow. Gunn translated the text as "I was in her hand by night as by day" commenting that the text of this stela obviously suffered from numerous mistakes, aberrant spellings and omissions. However, this particular inscription also contains a further clue suggesting that the phenomenon being observed was indeed a solar eclipse. Almost immediately after the sentence above, Gunn reported that the inscription continues: "I called upon the wind and it came to me not" and, later, "I called upon my Mistress: I found that she came to me with sweet airs". He acknowledges that both Erman and Maspero took this reference as probably indicating a disease in which the subject suffers from lack of breath, but he suggested that it may only be a poetic figure.

This inscription may be an example of the eclipse wind whereby, during a total eclipse, there appear to be local changes in wind speed and or direction as totality approaches. Aplin and Harrison remarked that this is a frequently discussed, although not clearly defined, phenomenon, requiring more sensitive meteorological observations to investigate further.

A necropolis workman of the name of Neferabou was mentioned on Ostracon BM 5634 dated to a regnal year of 40+, when he was burying his brother-in-law, so he may be placed with some certainty in the reign of Ramesses II. It seems likely that Neferabou commissioned both stelae urgently at the same time by different stone cutters to record the placation of both gods! Both stelae and tomb can therefore also be dated with some certainty to the early & middle part of the reign of Ramesses II and therefore match well with the total solar eclipse.

Stela Turin 50046 (also referred to as No: 318) / pNeferrenpet / Coffin Fragment: This stela was discussed by Gunn and Maspero and shows the sculpter Neferrenpet with his wife (or sister) and daughter

---
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worshiping Luna Thoth. Gunn translated the text as “Thou causest me to see a darkness of thy making”. Several individuals of this name are known to have lived at the village during the 19th and 20th Dynasties. Tosi and Roccati\textsuperscript{91} tentatively accredited this stela to the worker Neferrenpet, son of Nebre and Pashedet. However, the stela specifically stated that he was a sculptor and the only one of this name documented by Davies\textsuperscript{92} is Neferrenpet, son of Play, and brother of the Royal Scribe Huy. They were contemporary with (and probably slightly younger than) the scribe Ramose. Huy and Ramose were serving together under Ramesses II until year 39 of his reign. Milde\textsuperscript{93} confirms that the ownership of pNeferrenpet as this same person. This stela, the papyrus and coffin fragment therefore all date from the first half of the reign of Ramesses II and therefore match well with the total solar eclipse.

**Stela Turin 50050:** This stela was dedicated to Ahmose Nefertari by Heria, a name rarely met. It also mentioned a more common name, Eie. Tosi and Roccati\textsuperscript{94} translate the text as “[Grant] that I may see the darkness you create”. The name Heria is mentioned in the year 6 of Sety II on Ostracon Nash 1, recording the case of a woman charged with the theft of a copper utensil\textsuperscript{95}, but we do not know, of course, the result of this accusation. Because there are several instances of the name Eie, including one who was a daughter of Neferabu (see above), Tosi and Roccati\textsuperscript{96} argued that it is not possible to be sure of the precise individual, but concluded that the stela belonged to the 19th Dynasty.

Mahmoud\textsuperscript{97}, on the other hand suggested a date at the end of the 18th Dynasty, but this seems unlikely if one accepts that the Heria mentioned was the same person as the one accused of theft. It therefore seems more likely that this stela was produced during the reign of Ramesses II, therefore matching with the total solar eclipse. If one assumes that the Eie mentioned was indeed the daughter of Neferabu, they match very well.

**Stela Turin 50051 (also referred to as No: 279) & TT290:** Gunn\textsuperscript{98} and Maspero\textsuperscript{99} both discussed this stela which was in honour of Irynefer and which shows Nebtnuhet, the wife of Irynefer, a servant at Deir el-Medina, worshiping Soped or Thoth and speaking. Gunn translated the text as “Thou causest me to see a darkness of thy making”. Nebtnuhet was the

---
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\textsuperscript{93} Milde, H., op.cit.
\textsuperscript{94} Tosi, M. and Roccati, A., op. cit.
\textsuperscript{96} Tosi, M. and Roccati, op. cit.
\textsuperscript{97} Mahmoud, A., op. cit.
\textsuperscript{98} Gunn, B., op. cit.
\textsuperscript{99} Maspero G., op. cit., 1880
second wife of Irynefer who had previously been married to Maya by whom he had had a son, Baki, who later became a foreman and headed one of the most influential families at Deir el-Medina. Baki was known to be a foreman during the reign of Sety I, but it is not known whether he continued in this role during the reign of Ramesses II. Since the inference from this stela is that Irynefer has died, leaving a younger widow to mourn him, it seems likely that it therefore probably dates to the first half of the reign of Ramesses II. Although Irynefer himself may not have seen the eclipse, decoration of the tomb (TT290) may have continued after his death and spell 135 added as a matter of some concern by his widow who had witnessed it. This stela and tomb therefore match well with the total solar eclipse.

**Stela Turin 50052:** Gunn\(^\text{100}\) and Maspero\(^\text{101}\) both discussed this stela which shows the draughtsman scribe Pai worshiping Khonsu. The stela was in honour of his mother Wadjetronpet, wife of Ipuy. Gunn translated the text as “Lo, thou causest me to see a darkness of thy making”. The draughtsman Pai’s name occurs in graffito number 817\(^\text{102}\) in apposition to the cartouches of Horemheb, Ramesses I and Seti I and he is known to have been actively working during the early years of Ramesses II\(^\text{103}\). Given that his mother was by this time dead, it seems likely that it probably dates to the first half of the reign of Ramesses II. This stela therefore matches well with the total solar eclipse.

**TT 265:** This tomb belonged to Amenemopet, a scribe at Deir el-Medina, whose chapel (TT215) also exists. Davies\(^\text{104}\) reported that Amenemopet named his closest colleague, Huy in this tomb chapel and that they were both in office in the early years of Ramesses II, although he may have been succeeded by Ramose in year 5. Whether he died then or simply “retired” we do not know, but it seems likely that this tomb dates to the first half of the reign of Ramesses II and decoration in it may have been continued after his death by his family. They themselves may have seen the eclipse or at the very least would certainly have heard about it from others and they therefore added spell 135 as a matter of some concern. This tomb therefore matches with the total solar eclipse.

**TT 356:** This tomb belonged to Amenmuwia, a worker at Deir el-Medina. He was the son of Baki and father of Amenomone. He was married to Wadjetronpet. We know very little about this person, except that

---
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members of Baki’s family were almost unique in being given the enigmatic title or office of

\[ \text{3 n c} \]

the precise meaning of which has been debated but not resolved. What is certain is that Amenmuwia was active during the first half of the reign of Ramesses II, since his father, Baki, had been active in tomb administration during the reign of Seti I and his stonemason grandson Qenhirkhopshef was also active by the middle of the reign of Ramesses II\(^\text{105}\). For the purposes of this study, this is entirely adequate and the date of the tomb therefore matches well with the total solar eclipse.

**Group 2: Evidence from Elsewhere During the New Kingdom.**

Three other texts and a tomb inscription, all from the New Kingdom period, also contain similar evidence relevant to this study.

**Stela Museum Cairo JE 37463 / TT40:** Rowe\(^\text{106}\) translated the text as “\textit{I see the day-time darkness thou has made}”. This Stela belonged to Huy, Viceroy of Nubia under Tutankhamun, and thus can be fairly accurately dated to his reign. Huy might have been based at Karnak or in Nubia, probably at the provincial capital, Aniba and could have been at either place during an eclipse.

The text on this stela does not contain the hieroglyph for “twilight”. Whilst this may have simply been omitted by the sculptor for reasons of space, it is also possible that the text may indeed be referring metaphorically to the absence of the king as has been suggested by Assmann\(^\text{107}\) but it would be ironic if this were to be so, particularly since it is one of the very few instances where the term “eclipse” has been mentioned by a recognised Egyptologist in a published source!

Although this stela was found in the Karnak cachette and Huy’s tomb is at Thebes, the poor quality of this artefact suggests that it may have been created elsewhere, perhaps while Huy was in Nubia. Interestingly, there is another reference to darkness, also using the determinative hieroglyph (N46b) in Huy’s tomb (TT40)\(^\text{108}\), on the ceiling, which Gardiner translated as “May thy sight be clear in the way of darkness”. Traditionally, the darkness in this case has always been assumed to be referring to the afterlife itself, but it is possible that it was intended to be

\(^{105}\) Davies, B.G., op.cit. p. 208.
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\(^{108}\) de Garis Davies, N. and Gardiner, A.H., The Tomb of Huy, Theban Tomb Series No 40, EES, 1926.
a precaution against witnessing the same frightening experience (of the eclipse) again once Huy is in the afterlife.

Shaw\textsuperscript{109} dated the reign of Tutankhamun as 1336 BC – 1327 BC. Total solar eclipses occurring at around this period which satisfy the criteria of depth, location and relative insensitivity to errors in $\Delta T$ are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eclipses (BC)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magn</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>$\Delta T$ Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct 17\textsuperscript{th} 1328</td>
<td>Aniba</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>3mins 29secs (not total)</td>
<td>Very sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 17\textsuperscript{th} 1309</td>
<td>Karnak</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Very brief (not total)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 17\textsuperscript{th} 1309</td>
<td>Aniba</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Very brief (not total)</td>
<td>Relatively insensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 17\textsuperscript{th} 1309</td>
<td>Karnak</td>
<td>$(0.91)$</td>
<td>Very brief (not total)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the eclipse occurring during the reign of Tutankhamun is very sensitive to errors in $\Delta T$ an eclipse, possibly of a lesser magnitude and duration would certainly have been visible at both Karnak and Aniba. The eclipse occurring some years after Tutankhamun’s death, when Huy was probably back in Karnak would also have been no-where near total. It is quite possible that Huy saw both and the fact that the degree of darkness was no-where near that of twilight may have been the reason why the stela, if created soon after this event, did not bear the hieroglyph N46b for twilight. If it were created in Nubia, the stonemasons there may not even have been sufficiently literate to have been aware of this rare hieroglyph, whereas, later, the tomb builders in Thebes would certainly have been more literate in this respect.

We can certainly conclude that at least one and possibly both eclipses could have been witnessed by Huy but we cannot be as sure of their magnitude and the level of darkness experienced as we can in the case of the one occurring at Deir el-Medina discussed in group 1 above.

**Ostracon Cairo 12202:** This originated from Sheikh ʻAbd el-Qurna, at Thebes. It is written in hieratic and the determinative hieroglyph used was interpreted by Posener\textsuperscript{110} as the equivalent of N46b. He translated this text as “Thou causes me to see day like night” and suggested for this an approximate dating, based upon the use of the two hieroglyphs which were in current use during the Middle Kingdom but disappeared under the reign of Tuthmose III while other forms, however, survived as long as the reign of Akhenaton.

Posener argued that written forms, like language, evolve with innovations and archaic forms co-existing, and he believed that the whole collection of ostraca, being similar in style and content, can be

\textsuperscript{109} Shaw, I., (ed) op. cit.
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attributed to the reign of Amenhotep II, who was specifically mentioned on Ostracon Cairo 12224. However, it could also be argued that these ostraca may have been left at a shrine over an extended period and were only later collected together into the deposit as finally found and thus only appear to be contemporary. Too wide a range of dating is not helpful, since it covers a period when several eclipses would have been witnessed at Thebes. To narrow down the dating, the narrower interpretation of the writing described above is accepted.

Using Shaw\textsuperscript{111} we can date this period as about 1550 BC–1425 BC, although there remains a good deal of uncertainty in even this range. Widening this range even further would of course mean that other eclipses would also have to be considered, which does not really help as a test of the hypothesis. Total solar eclipses occurring during this period which satisfy the criteria of depth, location and relative insensitivity to errors in $\Delta T$ are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eclipses (BC)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magn</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>$\Delta T$ Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr 20\textsuperscript{th} 1523</td>
<td>Thebes</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>4mins 34secs</td>
<td>Relatively insensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 1\textsuperscript{st} 1478</td>
<td>Thebes</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3mins 23secs</td>
<td>Relatively insensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that there were certainly two very deep and fairly long total solar eclipses during the period when this ostracon could have been produced and thus which may have been the original stimulus for this inscription. However, the range of dating of this artefact is so wide that we cannot conclude that this was indeed the reason with any certainty.

**Graffito Pawah:** This graffito was also written in hieratic and was found in the tomb chapel of Pere (TT139) at Thebes. It can be dated more precisely than the other texts discussed in this paper because it specifically mentions day 10 of the third month of inundation in regnal year 3 of the reign of the King of Upper and Lower Egypt, Lord of the Two Lands Ankhkheprure beloved of Neferkheprure(?), the son of Re Nefernefruaten (beloved of Waenre) by the brother of Pawah, a “wab” priest and scribe of the divine offerings of Amun.

Gardiner\textsuperscript{112} interpreted the determinative hieroglyph used as a slight variant of N46b (although not amongst his original classification of hieroglyphs\textsuperscript{113}) and he translated this as “Thou causest me to behold darkness by day”. Ankhkheprure / Nefernefruaten is normally assumed to be Smenkhare, but more recent research\textsuperscript{114,115} has questioned

\textsuperscript{111} Shaw, I., (ed) op. cit.
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whether this may actually have been Nefertiti, ruling jointly with Akhenaten but residing at Thebes during the last few years of his life. Pawah himself was probably not in Thebes at that time. Redford\textsuperscript{116} argued, citing examples, that many of the priests from Karnak and other cities were re-employed and resided at Akhetaten. Some may have done so willingly, grasping the opportunity to continue their career, while others may have been kept there under “supervision” at Akhenaten’s instruction so as to suppress any dissent. The content of the graffito suggests that Pawah, still espousing Amun, may have been amongst the latter. If so, after witnessing a solar eclipse and regarding it as a bad omen, he may have been unable to set out his own petition in a holy place for fear of being discovered and so asked his brother to do so on his behalf.

The chronology of the Armana period is extremely tentative and Shaw’s chronology may not be reliable. In a recent presentation, Dodson\textsuperscript{117} has argued that there is evidence that Smenkhare became coregent with Akhenaten around year 13 of his reign, marrying Meyetaten, but dying fairly soon afterwards. Tutankhaten being still only a child, Nefertiti, calling herself Neferneferuaten, took on kingly titles.

Under this scenario, it seems likely that the first year of her reign could also have corresponded with year 13 of Akhenaten’s. If so, the dating of Graffito Pawah, Ill Akhet 10 of the 3rd year of her reign would be October 1\textsuperscript{st} 1338 BC. Such a graffito would be most likely to have been written in response to and possibly shortly after an eclipse.

Total solar eclipses occurring at around this period which satisfy the criteria of depth, location and relative insensitivity to errors in $\Delta T$ are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eclipses (BC)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magn</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>$\Delta T$ Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 14\textsuperscript{th} 1338</td>
<td>Akhetaten Thebes</td>
<td>1.01 0.94</td>
<td>5mins 48secs (not total)</td>
<td>) Insensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This extremely deep eclipse, insensitive to errors in $\Delta T$ and lasting nearly 6 minutes would certainly have been dramatic and seen at Akhetaton by most people. Even at Thebes a deep partial eclipse would have been visible but would not have caused such a level of darkness to have been experienced as was the case at Akhetaton. In this particular case the date match is excellent, if Dodson’s chronology is accepted as

\textsuperscript{115} Dodson, A. M., Amarna Sunset: the late-Amarna succession revisited. Paper read at The Bloomsbury Academy Summer School 28\textsuperscript{th} October 2006: Mysteries of Amarna.
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a modification of that of Shaw, with only a period of 5 months between
the eclipse and the graffito - there would almost certainly be
communication difficulties and delays between Akhetaten and Thebes
and a suitable opportunity would also need to have arisen for Pawah’s
brother to have access to a site of appropriate significance.

Conclusions

There is no clear evidence that the hypothesis put forward in this paper,
namely that these texts and spells were produced in response to solar
eclipses, even if couched in religious terms, is inherently incorrect. I.e. In
no case can we be sure that at the time that each of these texts was
used, there was no possibility that a deep solar eclipse had been
witnessed. Indeed in the case of the artefacts in the first group, from
Deir el-Medina, and also of Grafitto Pawah the evidence seems to be
quite compelling.

The evidence is less conclusive for the other two examples from group
2. In the case of the Stela Museum Cairo JE 37463 and TT40 there were
certainly opportunities for Huy to have seen at least one eclipse and
possibly two, but uncertainty in location and possible errors in ∆T mean
that we cannot be completely sure of the depth of either of these. In the
case of Ostracon Cairo 12202, although several deep eclipses would
have been visible during the period in which it may have been produced,
the wide range of uncertainty in its date makes it impossible to be sure
that it matched one of these.

The hypothesis that these texts relate to the witnessing of a total solar
eclipse cannot therefore be refuted with the available evidence and must
remain viable. Indeed, if further examples of this form of text and or spell
are found later which are not dateable from internal evidence, then this
hypothesis may be a useful clue to their absolute dating. Alternatively, if
such texts are found which do have internal or other dating evidence, the
hypothesis can be tested further. If this hypothesis were ultimately to
become accepted, solar eclipses might be used to date accurately
archaeological material containing such inscriptions and thus could
become an important new technique for absolute dynastic dating.